



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,471	09/30/2005	Thomas Hanemann	31775-221177	4402
26694 VENIA DI E I I	7590 08/15/2007 D		EXAMINER	
VENABLE LLP P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			REDDY, KARUNA P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1713	,
	•	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	08/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/551,471	HANEMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karuna P. Reddy	1713	

	Karuna P. Reddy	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API		·	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day as filing a pwing replies: (1) an ame otice of Appeal (with app	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid at ndment, affidavit, or other evide eal fee) in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	Advisory Action, or (2) the d later than SIX MONTHS from r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	m the mailing date of the final rejec	ction.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(INOTICE OF APPEAL)	extension and the correspond e shortened statutory period the er than three months after the	ling amount of the fee. The approper for reply originally set in the final O	oriate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bell (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bell	onsideration and/or seard low);	ch (see NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	a corresponding number o		g the issues for
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		of Non-Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	•	separate, timely filed amendn	nent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 10-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:) 🔯 will not be entered, ovided below or appende	or b)	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessant. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanating	overcome <u>all</u> rejections ury and was not earlier pre	inder appeal and/or appellant fesented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	out does NOT place the a	oplication in condition for allow	ance because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)			
13. Other:	. (1 10/06/00) 1 apc; 140(<i></i>	
		2 - Wh	
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	IINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims are given broadest possible interpretation i.e. although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. The scope of claim 1 has changed with the amendment to "...aromatic ring system of TWO MORE isocyclic ring systems"